

Announcements

1) In the definition
for the determinant,
you're considering
the columns of the
matrix as vectors,
not the rows

Recall: $\text{Alt}(\tau)(v_1, \dots, v_k)$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{Sign}(\sigma) \tau(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)})$$

for $\tau \in \widetilde{T}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Observation: (linearity of Alt)

$$\text{Alt}(\bar{T} + c\bar{S})(v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sign}(\sigma) (\bar{T} + c\bar{S})(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)})$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sign}(\sigma) \bar{T}(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)})$$

$$+ \frac{c}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sign}(\sigma) \bar{S}(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)})$$

$$= \text{Alt}(\bar{T})(v_1, \dots, v_k) + c \text{Alt}(\bar{S})(v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

This implies

$$\text{Alt}: \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is a linear map.

Theorem: (properties)

Let $T \in \mathcal{T}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let

$\omega \in \mathcal{T}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be alternating.

Then

1) $\text{Alt}(T)$ is alternating

2) $\text{Alt}(\omega) = \omega$

3) $\text{Alt}(\text{Alt}(T)) = \text{Alt}(T)$

Proof:

1) Let $x \in S_k$. Calculate

$$Alt(T)(v_{x(1)}, \dots, v_{x(k)})$$

for $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We want to show this is

$$\text{Sign}(x) Alt(T)(v_1, \dots, v_k).$$

$$Alt(\tau)(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)})$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{Sign}(\sigma) T(v_{\sigma \circ \gamma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma \circ \gamma(k)})$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{Sign}(\sigma) \text{Sign}(\gamma) \text{Sign}(\gamma) T(v_{\sigma \circ \gamma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma \circ \gamma(k)})$$

$$= \frac{\text{Sign}(\gamma)}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{Sign}(\sigma \circ \gamma) T(v_{\sigma \circ \gamma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma \circ \gamma(k)})$$

$$= \frac{\text{Sign}(\gamma)}{k!} \sum_{\pi \in S_k} \text{Sign}(\pi) T(v_{\pi(1)}, \dots, v_{\pi(k)})$$

$$(\pi = \sigma \circ \gamma)$$

$$= \text{sign}(\gamma) \text{Alt}(\tau)(v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

$\Rightarrow \text{Alt}(\tau)$ is alternating.

2) Let $\omega \in \mathcal{T}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be alternating. Then

$$\text{Alt}(\omega)(v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{Sign}(\sigma) \omega(v_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(k)})$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} (\text{Sign}(\sigma))^2 \omega(v_1, \dots, v_k) \quad \text{since } \omega \text{ alternating}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \omega(v_1, \dots, v_k) \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \omega(v_1, \dots, v_k) \cancel{k!}$$

$$= \omega(v_1, \dots, v_k).$$

3) By 1), $A\text{lt}(\bar{T})$

is alternating.

By 2),

$$A\text{lt}(A\text{lt}(\bar{T})) = A\text{lt}(\bar{T}) . \quad \square$$

Definition: · (wedge product)

If $S \in \mathcal{T}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$

and $T \in \mathcal{T}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

we define $S \wedge T \in \Lambda^{m+k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

by

$$S \wedge T = \frac{(m+k)!}{m! k!} \text{Alt}(S \otimes T)$$

Theorem: (properties)

Let $T \in \mathcal{T}^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $S \in \mathcal{T}^4(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$Q \in \mathcal{T}^\ell(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

1) If $\text{Alt}(S) = 0$, then

$$\text{Alt}(T \otimes S) = 0.$$

2) $\text{Alt}(\text{Alt}(T \otimes S) \otimes R)$

$$= \text{Alt}(T \otimes \text{Alt}(S \otimes R))$$

$$= \text{Alt}(T \otimes S \otimes R) \quad (\text{associativity of Alt})$$

3) " \wedge " is associative, and

$$(T \wedge S) \wedge R$$

$$= T \wedge (S \wedge R)$$

$$= \frac{(k+m+l)!}{k! m! l!} A \amalg (T \otimes S \otimes R)$$

Proof: 1) Let $\Gamma \subseteq S_{m+k}$,
"Subgroup"

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \in S_{m+k} \mid \gamma(i) = i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq m \right\}$$

Then $\Gamma \cong S_k$ as a group.

The map is

$$\gamma \mapsto \gamma'$$

where $\gamma'(j) = \gamma(j+m)$.

We may then decompose

S_{m+k} into left cosets

determined by Γ :

$$S_{m+k} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{(m+k)!/k!} \sigma_i \Gamma$$

Recall that any two left cosets are disjoint or equal.

We may choose $\sigma_1 = (1)$.

Then

$$\text{Alt}(T \otimes S)(v_1, \dots, v_{m+n})$$

$$= \frac{1}{(m+n)!} \sum_{\pi \in S_{m+n}} \text{Sign}(\pi) T(v_{\pi(1)}, \dots, v_{\pi(m)}) S(v_{\pi(m+1)}, \dots, v_{\pi(m+n)})$$

$$= \frac{(m+n)!}{(m+n)!} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\pi \in \sigma_i \Gamma} \text{Sign}(\pi) T(v_{\pi(1)}, \dots, v_{\pi(m)}) S(v_{\pi(m+1)}, \dots, v_{\pi(m+n)})$$

Let's show

$$\sum_{\pi \in \sigma_i \Gamma} \text{Sign}(\pi) T(v_{\pi(1)}, \dots, v_{\pi(m)}) S(v_{\pi(m+1)}, \dots, v_{\pi(m+n)}) = 0 \quad \forall i.$$

If $\pi \in \Gamma_i$, we may

write $\pi = \sigma_i \gamma$ for some (unique)

$\gamma \in \Gamma$. The sum then becomes

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{Sign}(\gamma \circ \sigma_i) T(v_{\sigma_i^{-1} \gamma(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma_i^{-1} \gamma(m)}) S(v_{\sigma_i^{-1} \gamma(m+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma_i^{-1} \gamma(n+k)})$$

$$= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{Sign}(\gamma \circ \sigma_i) T(v_{\sigma_i^{-1}(1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma_i^{-1}(m)}) S(v_{\sigma_i^{-1} \gamma(m+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma_i^{-1} \gamma(n+k)})$$

(since $\gamma(j) = j \quad 1 \leq j \leq m$)

$$= \bar{T}(\sqrt{\sigma_i(1)}, -\sqrt{\sigma_i(m)}) \cdot$$

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{Sign}(\gamma_0 \sigma_0) S(\sqrt{\sigma_i \circ \gamma(m+1)}, -\sqrt{\sigma_i \circ \gamma(m+k)})$$

$$= \bar{T}(\sqrt{\sigma_i(1)}, -\sqrt{\sigma_i(m)}) \text{Sign}(\sigma_i) \cdot$$

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{Sign}(\gamma) S(\sqrt{\sigma_i \circ \gamma(m+1)}, -\sqrt{\sigma_i \circ \gamma(m+k)})$$

We only consider this sum in what follows

Write the sum as

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{Sign}(\gamma) S(v_{\sigma_i \circ \gamma \circ \sigma_i^{-1}(\sigma_i(m+1))}, \dots, v_{\sigma_i \circ \gamma \circ \sigma_i^{-1}(\sigma_i(m+4))})$$

Note $\text{Sign}(\sigma_i \circ \gamma \circ \sigma_i^{-1}) = \text{sign}(\gamma)$, so

with $\pi = \sigma_i \circ \gamma \circ \sigma_i^{-1}$, we

may rewrite the sum as

$$\sum_{\pi \in \sigma_i \Gamma \sigma_i^{-1}} \text{Sign}(\pi) S(v_{\pi(\sigma_i(m+1))}, \dots, v_{\pi(\sigma_i(m+k))})$$

Note that if $\pi = \sigma_i \gamma \sigma_i^{-1}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$,
then

$$\pi(\sigma_i(j))$$

$$= \sigma_j \circ \gamma(j)$$

$$= \sigma_i(j) \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq m$$

and this implies

$$\pi(\sigma_i(m+j)) \in \{\sigma_i(m+1), \dots, \sigma_i(m+k)\}$$

$$\forall 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Hence, π is a bijection on

$$\{\sigma_i(m+1), \dots, \sigma_i(m+k)\}.$$

Now $\{\sigma_i(m+j), -, \sigma_i(n+k)\}$

may be identified with

$\{1, 2, -, 4\}$ via f ,

$$f(\sigma_i(m+j)) = j \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

We claim

$$\sum_{\pi \in \sigma_i \wr \sigma_i^{-1}} \text{Sign}(\pi) S(v_{\pi(\sigma_i(m+1))}, \dots, v_{\pi(\sigma_i(n+k))})$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_k \\ \pi \neq \text{id}}} \text{Sign}(\pi) S(v_{\pi(1)}, \dots, v_{\pi(k)})$$

where π_* is the induced map $\pi_*(j) = f(\pi(\sigma_i(m+j)))$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$.

The induced map is bijective since $\pi_* = \varphi_*$ for $\pi, \varphi \in \sigma_i \cap \sigma_i^{-1}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \pi_*(j) = \varphi_*(j) \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq k$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(\pi(\sigma_i(m+j))) = f(\varphi(\sigma_i(m+j))) \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq k$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \pi(\sigma_i(m+j)) = \varphi(\sigma_i(m+j)) \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq k$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi = \varphi \text{ since}$$

$$\pi(\sigma_i(t)) = \varphi(\sigma_i(t)) = \sigma_i(t) \quad \forall 1 \leq t \leq m.$$

Therefore the induced map is injective, but since

$$|\sigma_i \cap \sigma_i^{-1}| = |\cap| = |S_k| = k!,$$

we get that the induced map is an injection.

We now need to show that

$$\text{sign}(\pi) = \text{sign}(\pi_x) \wedge \pi \in \sigma_i \cap \sigma_i^{-1}.$$

But we observe that

$\pi \mapsto \pi_x$ is a homomorphism,
as follows: if $\pi, \varphi \in \sigma_i \cap \sigma_i^{-1}$,

then suppose $\varphi(\sigma_i(m+j)) = \sigma_i(m+a)$
for some $1 \leq a \leq k$ and

$$\pi(\sigma_i(m+a)) = \sigma_i(m+b) \text{ for some } 1 \leq b \leq k.$$

Then

$$(\tilde{\pi}_* \circ \ell_*) (j)$$

$$= \pi_* (f(\varphi(\sigma_i(m+j))))$$

$$= \pi_* (f(\sigma_i(m+a)))$$

$$= \pi_*(a)$$

$$= f(\pi(\sigma_i(m+a)))$$

$$= f(\sigma_i(m+b)) = b$$

and

$$(\pi \circ \varphi)_*(j)$$

$$= f(\pi(\varphi(\sigma_i(m+j))))$$

$$= f(\pi(\sigma_i(m+a)))$$

$$= f(\sigma_i(m+b))$$

$$= b$$

$$\Rightarrow \widetilde{\pi}_* \circ \varphi_* = (\pi \circ \varphi)_*$$

and so the induced map
is a homomorphism.

We have then induced
an isomorphism from

$\sigma_i \cap \sigma_i^{-1}$ to S_n ,

which implies $\text{sign}(\pi) = \text{sign}(\tilde{\pi})$

$\forall \pi \in \sigma_i \cap \sigma_i^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\pi \in \sigma_i \cap \sigma_i^{-1}} \text{sign}(\pi) S(v_{\pi(\sigma_i(m+1))} - v_{\pi(\sigma_i(m+k))})$$

$\pi \in \sigma_i \cap \sigma_i^{-1}$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in S_k} \text{sign}(\pi) S(v_{\pi(1)} - v_{\pi(k)})$$

$\pi \in S_k$

$$= k! \text{Alt}(S) = 0.$$

This then implies

$$\text{Alt}(T \otimes S) = 0.$$